

United States Patent and Trademark Office

PS

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FI | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/826,319 | | 04/03/2001 | Michael F. Lahn | 2879-80 | 4155 |
| 22442 | 7590 | 09/08/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| SHERIDAN | | PC | SCHWADRON, RONALD B | | |
| 1560 BROADWAY SUITE 1200 DENVER, CO 80202 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | | 1644 | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 09/08/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | 09/826,319 | LAHN ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Ron Schwadron, Ph.D. | 1644 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | |
| | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar | | secution as to the merits is | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | ٠, | | | | | |
| Attention and (a) | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) There are a second | (DTO 442) | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. | | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Pa | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/826,319

Art Unit: 1644

Page 2

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 6/13/2005 has been entered.
- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 3. Claims 1-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.
- A) There is no support in the specification as originally filed for the limitation added to claim 1 in the amendment filed 6/13/2005. The current limitation indicates that the peripheral T cell responses in the treated mammal are less than about 10% of the peripheral T cell responses detected if the antibody is administered systemically (AKA that the peripheral T cell response is reduced by about 90% in aerosolized antibody treated mammals versus systemically treated mammals). However, page 10, lines 10-18 of the specification to which applicant refers to for support indicates the opposite effect (that the claimed method effects pulmonary T cell populations without substantially stimulating or inhibiting peripheral T cells). Example 5 of the specification refers to treatment with anti delta chain antibody and is not of the scope of claimed invention which encompasses use of a variety of different antibodies recited in the claim. It is also not of the scope of the claimed invention because it discloses specific experimental results using a specific antibody and the measurement of specific T cell populations.
- B) There is no support in the specification as originally filed for the limitation added to claim 33 in the amendment filed 6/13/2005. Regarding applicants comments,

Application/Control Number: 09/826,319

Art Unit: 1644

page 10 of the specification refers to "1000-fold or more lower" which is not the dose range referred to in claim 33. Regarding the specification, page 34, the "100 to 1000 fold" referred to is not a dosage range in the context recited in claim 33. It refers to speculation as to the amount of a dosage that is actually delivered as a percentage of a aerosolized formulation. Example 1 also does not disclose the limitation under consideration.

There is no support in the specification as originally filed for the claimed inventions (eg. the claimed inventions constitute new matter).

4. The rejection of Claims 1,2,9-35 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lobb et al. (US Patent 5,871,734) as evidenced by Arrhenius et al. (US Patent 5,869,448) in view of Schramm et al., Wigzell et al. (US Patent 5,958,410) and Krause et al. (US Patent Application Publication 2002/0037286) for the reasons elaborated in the previous Office Action is withdrawn in view of amended claim 1. The previously withdrawn species claims have been rejoined. In the event that the claims are amended such that prior art would read on the elected species, the species election requirement would be reinstated.

5. No claim is allowed.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ron Schwadron, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571 272-0851. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30-6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on 571 272-0841. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/826,319

Art Unit: 1644

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RONALD B. SCHWADRON PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1800 (600)

Ron Schwadron, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit 1644